

# City of Minot

## City Attorney's Office



Date: July 1, 2021  
To: Mayor/City Council  
City Manager  
From: City Attorney's Office  
Re: Monthly Attorney Report (June, 2021)

Pursuant to Minot City Code of Ordinances, Section 2-30(7), the following items or issues are submitted as a summary of some of the matters addressed by the City Attorney's Office prior to the July 6, 2021 City Council meeting:

### **I. Civil Matters**

#### **A. Floodplain Eminent Domain Cases:**

##### **1. City of Minot v. Deborah A. Luetzen, et al.**

Counsel: John Warcup

Opposing Counsel: Pro Se

Current Status: Resolved at District Court

On March 2, 2020, the City Council approved a resolution to move forward with eminent domain relating to this property. On May 12, 2020, the City filed its complaint relating to the eminent domain proceeding. On July 13, 2020, the City filed a motion for default judgment.

On September 2, 2020, the district court signed a findings of fact, conclusions of law, and order for judgment. The City deposited \$167,000.00 with the clerk of district court, which represents the full payment for just compensation and is equal to the amount of the City's appraisal.

City staff is working with the defendants on relocation issues.

At the April 19, 2021 regular City Council meeting, the City Council approved a resolution allowing staff to initiate eviction proceedings against the Defendant.

##### **2. City of Minot v. Nathan Properties, LLC, et al.**

Counsel: John Warcup

Opposing Counsel: Jessica Merchant

Current Status: Pending at District Court

On March 2, 2020, the City Council approved a resolution to move forward with eminent domain relating to this property. On May 27, 2020, the City filed its complaint relating to the eminent domain proceeding.

On June 8, 2020, Nathan Properties, LLC filed an answer; Nathan Properties, LLC also filed counterclaims for governmental liability and a violation of due process under 42 § 1983. The North Dakota Insurance Reserve Fund has agreed to pay for the cost to defend the City against the counterclaims. On June 29, 2020, Attorney Warcup filed an answer to the counterclaims on behalf of the City.

**June:** A jury trial was scheduled to begin June 21, 2021. At the regular City Council meeting on June 21, 2021, the City Council approved the following settlement with Nathan Properties, LLC: \$655,000 for purchase of the property, full settlement of the litigation including the taking and counterclaims interposed by Nathan Properties, with a closing date on or before October 19, 2021. The issue of reasonable attorney fees remains; if the parties are not able to resolve that issue, it will be resolved by the district court.

**B. Filed Civil Matters:**

**1. RMM Properties LLLP v. Xcel Energy; City of Minot**

Counsel: John Warcup  
Appointed by NDIRF

Opposing Counsel: Nici Meyer (RMM Properties LLLP)  
Patrick Mahlberg (Xcel Energy)

Current Status: Pending at District Court

On April 13, 2021, the City was served with a summons and complaint by Plaintiff against Xcel Energy and the City of Minot. The complaint stems from Xcel Energy’s placement of a transformer in public right-of-way. It is an action for declaratory relief; inverse condemnation; and nuisance. On May 5, 2021, an answer was filed on behalf of the City. The North Dakota Insurance Reserve Fund retained and appointed John Warcup to defend the City in this lawsuit.

**2. Dawn Wilkie, individually and on behalf of Oscar Wilkie III, deceased v. Ward County, et al.**

Counsel: Randall Bakke  
Appointed by NDIRF

Opposing Counsel: Amanda Corey

Current Status: Pending at Federal District Court

On January 10, 2020, a complaint was filed with the U.S. District Court of North Dakota by Plaintiff against, among others, the City of Minot and individual Minot Police Department officers. The complaint stems from the death of Oscar Wilkie III while he was in custody of the Ward County Jail, after being arrested on a bench warrant and transported to the Ward County Jail by Minot police officers. The complaint alleges deliberate indifference to civil rights; deliberate

indifference to serious medical needs; negligence; and wrongful death. The North Dakota Insurance Reserve Fund retained and appointed Randall Bakke and other attorneys at Bakke Grinolds Wiederholt to defend all Defendants named in this lawsuit. Attorney Bakke filed an answer on behalf of the defendants on March 5, 2020.

**June:** Discovery is ongoing. Depositions have been scheduled for August 2021.

**3. City of Minot v. Cypress Development, LLC**

**Counsel:** Jocelyn Knoll and Kate Johnson  
Dorsey Whitney  
Appointed by City Council

**Opposing Counsel:** Attorneys from Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt P.C.

**Current Status:** Pending at District Court

This matter relates to a mixed development project in the City of Minot. The project involves the construction of two city-owned parking structures on City lots, plus retail or office space and residential units on top of the parking ramps. A private developer, Cypress Development, LLC, was to manage the City’s parking structures and develop the residential units and commercial improvements. Several agreements exist, including, but not necessarily limited to, 2015 Lease Agreements, 2015 Management Agreement, 2013 Development Agreement, and a Development and Disposition Agreement. Cypress failed to pay amounts owed to the City as rent and then, upon notice of its material breach, failed to cure its breach within the 120 day period. Cypress failed to properly manage the parking structures. Cypress failed to pay all costs for the development and construction of the Parking Structures in excess of the City’s obligation. Because Cypress failed to maintain a lease, due to its failure to pay the rent, Cypress also violated the Development and Disposition Agreement. On March 15, 2018, the City served a summons and complaint on Cypress.

Cypress filed an answer and various counterclaims on April 23, 2018. In its counterclaims, Cypress’s claims for relief include indemnification, unintentional misrepresentation, constructive fraud, breach of contract, and declaratory relief. On May 14, 2018, the City filed an answer to the counterclaims.

On March 15, 2019, Cypress filed a motion for leave to amend answer and counterclaims. The City responded, requesting the district court deny the motion on March 29, 2019. Cypress replied on April 5, 2019. On May 7, 2019, the district court entered an order allowing Cypress to amend its answer and counterclaims. The City filed an amended answer to Cypress’s counterclaims on May 23, 2019.

The parties participated in mediation on November 19, 2020. No settlement was reached.

**June:** Trial preparation is ongoing as the jury trial is scheduled to begin July 12, 2021.

**4. City of Minot v. 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC**

**Counsel:** Shawn Grinolds and Brad Wiederholt  
Appointed by City Council

**Opposing Counsel:** Wayne Carlson and Todd Zimmerman

Current Status: Pending at District Court

In 2013, the City entered into a Development Agreement with 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC. The City contributed five million dollars in CDBG-DR funds to provide water, sewer, drainage, and access infrastructure to 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC's development. In exchange, 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC agreed to, among other things, within two years, (1) construct 178 townhomes, of which a minimum of 51% of the proposed townhome would be set aside and offered at a price affordable to LMI buyers; and (2) provide 350 manufactured homes, of which a minimum of 51% of the manufactured homes lots would be set aside and leased to LMI households.

On August 1, 2017, the City notified 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC of several deficiencies, including but not limited to those outlined in the preceding paragraph, under the Development Agreement and permitted 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC with thirty days, per the Development Agreement, to either cure the deficiencies or provide documentation of diligent efforts to correct the failures. If 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC failed to cure the deficiencies or provide documentation of diligent efforts to correct the failures, the City set a termination date of September 15, 2017. City staff has extended the termination date two additional times to allow for 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC to provide proposals for resolving this matter without litigation.

The termination date was set for December 8, 2017. This matter was discussed in executive session at the December 4, 2017 City Council meeting. Following executive session, the City Council convened in public session and moved to terminate the Development Agreement with 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC and pursue any action necessary to recoup the funds relating to the Development Agreement. The City sent a letter to 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC on December 8, 2017 advising 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing the City was placing 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, LLC in default and terminating the Development Agreement for cause effective December 8, 2017.

The City served a summons and complaint on 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, Inc. on May 7, 2018. On May 29, 2018, the City received 16<sup>th</sup> Crossing, Inc.'s answer and counterclaims. On June 19, 2018, the City filed and served its answer to Defendant's counterclaims.

**June:** On June 16, 2021, the district court signed an order extending the dispositive motion deadline to July 23, 2021 for parties to request summary judgment.

**5. First Western Bank & Trust v. ND Port Services; City of Minot**

Counsel: Shawn Grinolds  
Appointed by City Council  
Appointed by NDIRF against NDPS Counterclaim

Opposing Counsel: FWBT: Richard Olson/Jessica Merchant  
NDPS: Jon Brakke

Current Status: Pending at District Court

In 2009, the City entered into a lease relating to city-owned property with NDPS. At the same time, the City agreed to a subordination agreement with State Bank of Kenmare, where the City agreed that NDPS could use its interest in the lease as security for one or more loans. A subsequent

subordination agreement was signed in 2013 to amend the vender from State Bank of Kenmare to FWBT.

Allegedly, NDPS defaulted on its obligations to FWBT. As a result, FWBT initiated foreclosure proceedings by filing a summons and complaint against NDPS and the City on May 16, 2017.

On June 8, 2017, the City filed an answer to the FWBT complaint and a crossclaim against NDPS, alleging NDPS materially breached the lease agreement by failing to pay rent and failing to promptly pay and discharge real estate taxes and assessments against the subject leased property. On June 28, 2017, NDPS filed an answer and crossclaim against the City, alleging that the City is in breach of the lease agreement because it failed to return the lease property to the condition it was in prior to commencement of construction activities pursued by the City; it failed to insure proper drainage with respect to City improvements on or near the leased property; and construction of improvements on or near the leased property prevented NDPS from being able to properly use and develop the leased property. Additionally, NDPS's crossclaim alleges that the City failed to permit NDPS to exercise its right to purchase certain portions of the leased property pursuant to the lease agreement because the City was unable to convey title to the subject property to NDPS. On July 19, 2017, the City responded to the crossclaim, denying NDPS claims.

On November 15, 2017, NDPS filed a motion for summary judgment against FWBT, arguing that FWBT did not fully comply with the statutory requirements relating to the foreclosure notice and complaint. The motion was not directed at the City.

On December 4, 2017, FWBT filed a brief in opposition to the motion for summary judgment, arguing that it met all the statutory requirements relating to the foreclosure notice and complaint, and that even if it did not, NDPS is not entitled to summary judgment. On December 7, 2017, NDPS filed a response replying to NDPS's assertions claiming that FWBT's failure to meet the statutory notice requirements renders their foreclosure fatally defective and the district court should award summary judgment in their favor.

On March 1, 2018, the district court granted summary judgment to FWBT to foreclose on NDPS's leasehold and other security interests and denied NDPS's motion for summary judgment. On August 22, 2018, the district court issued a special execution ordering the Sheriff to proceed with the sale of the property described in this matter.

On April 19, 2018, Attorney Grinolds filed a motion for summary judgment on behalf of the City. On September 7, 2018, the district court issued its order granting summary judgment and finding that NDPS materially breached the lease agreement by failing to pay rents in 2016, 2017, and 2018 and failing to pay real estate taxes in 2016, 2017, and 2018 through the termination date. The district court granted the City monetary damages against NDPS for breach in the amount of \$248,216.59 in relation to the 129.16 acres not genuinely in dispute; the district court also determined there was a genuine issue of material fact in dispute with regard to 5.70 acres leased to NDPS and summary judgment was not granted with regard to that portion of the lease.

On October 31, 2018 a sheriff's sale for NDPS's real property, personal property, and interest in the City lease were auctioned at the Ward County courthouse. FWBT was the only bidder.

On November 28, 2018, the district court issued an order confirming the sale; the redemption period expired on December 30, 2018.

On December 30, 2019, the City and NDPS filed a stipulation for order for judgment, where the parties agreed that the City is entitled to an additional \$104,661.51 from NDPS (the amount relative to the 5.70 acres not included in the prior summary judgment); the parties also agreed that the dismissal of NDPS's remaining cross claim against the City was appropriate. On January 9, 2020, a judgment was issued for the dismissal of the remaining claims and the additional \$104,661.51.

On February 7, 2020, Attorney Grinolds served NDPS with post-judgment discovery (interrogatories and requests for production of documents). Correspondence regarding discovery has occurred, but discovery is ongoing.

### C. Other Civil Matters

#### 1. Ordinance Revisions:

- a. Legislative Revisions: This office is identifying changes made during the 2021 legislative session that require modification to the City's ordinances. Proposed amendments will be forthcoming.
  - b. Civil Service/Personnel Ordinances: This Office is working with the Human Resources Department and the City Manager to revise the City's civil service and personnel ordinances and related policies.
2. Open Records/Open Meetings Training: This Office has scheduled an open records/open meetings training with the Attorney General's office. That training event is scheduled for Wednesday, August 4, 2021 at 10:30 AM in the City Council Chambers. This is a noticed public meeting of the City Council; elected officials and/or staff from other governmental agencies and members of the public are welcome to attend, as well.

### II. Criminal Matters

- A. Minot Municipal Court – Handled routine and daily matters in Minot Municipal Court for criminal prosecution (trials) and civil ordinance violations, as well as approval or initiation of criminal complaints for ordinance violations.
- B. District Court – Appeared and responded to the scheduling of District Court transfer cases involving initial appearances, motion responses, motion hearings, status conferences, pretrial conferences, and order to show cause proceedings for criminal cases originating in the Minot Municipal Court and subsequently transferred to the Ward County District Court.

Respectfully Submitted,

