November 15, 2021 Regular City Council Meeting
Minot City Council- Scheduled Meeting- November 15, 2021 at 5:30 PM

ROLL CALL

Members Present
Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Ross, Sipma, Podrygula

Members Absent
None

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Mayor Sipma presiding and led the City Council in the Pledge of Allegiance.

CITY MANAGER REPORT
The City Manager provided a written update describing events and activities for various departments.

Also, I'd like to give some kudos to our staff, we had our first winter events of the year. And I thought staff did a great job. I was a little disappointed in the fact that I thought when I left Missouri I was done with ice storms and sleet. And I guess my that was helping me feel at home here a little bit. So, the only thing I have is just an update on progress with the community satisfaction survey. We have met our goal of 400 respondents to that. We are working on analyzing and those types of things and preparing a presentation for council in the future. But for the public. There is a link available on the website of the city today that if anyone would like to fill out that survey, they are still welcome to do so. And that that data that information, those responses will come to us at the city and our consultant. But that that link is live on our website if anyone would still like to participate in that survey. But we're looking forward to getting the data back and using that in our processes with the council and staff and prioritizing community needs and how we make efforts on helping achieve the council's city aspirations moving forward and include that in us in our budget and decision-making process in the future. But again, that link is available on the city website for anyone that was still like to fill out that survey.

Consider the Report of the Planning Commission
The planning commission submitted recommendations for November.

4.1 Public Hearing: Building Relocation Request to 1717 1st Street Ave SE
Alderman Jantzer moved the City Council approve the building relocation request for 1717 1st Street Ave SE
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

4.2 Public Hearing: Zoning Map Amendment- LOT 3, Cenex Fifth Addition
Alderman Ross moved the City Council approve the Zoning Map Amendment – LOT 3, Cenex Fifth Addition
Motion seconded by Alderwoman Evans and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

4.3 Public Hearing: Zoning Text Amendment- Article 10 Subdivision
John Van Dyke presented a presentation on the revamp of land development, Ordinance updates.
Alderman Pitner moved the City Council approve the Zoning Text Amendment- Article 10 Subdivision
Motion seconded by Alderman Ross and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

4.4 Public Hearing: St. Johns Third Addition
Alderman Jantzer moved the City Council approve the building relocation request for St. Johns Third Addition Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

Podrygula asked that the Engineering department do a Traffic study on this area. Lance Meyer agreed to monitor with council funding in 2023.

4.5 Subdivision of Trestle Ridge 6th Addition
Alderman Ross moved the City Council approve a subdivision of the Trestle Ridge 6th Addition

Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

4.6 Subdivision of Outlot 6
Alderman Ross moved the City Council approve a subdivision of Outlot 6
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

4.7 Subdivision of Roosevelt Heights 4th Addition
Alderman Ross moved the City Council approve a subdivision of Roosevelt Heights 4th Addition
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

4.8 Subdivision of Hilltop 7th Addition
Alderman Ross moved the City Council approve a subdivision of Hilltop 7th Addition
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

4.9 Subdivision of the Bluffs 18th Addition
Alderman Ross moved the City Council approve a subdivision of the Bluffs 18th Addition
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

CONSENT

5.1 City Council Minutes
Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

Alderman Ross wanted to Pull consent item number 5.10 EDA Grant Support Letter Visit Minot for further discussion.

5.2 Magic Fund Screening Committee Minutes
Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.3 The Following Ordinance should be considered for second reading**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.4 2020 Sanitary Sewer rehab - Final Payment (City Project 4494)**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.5 4429-2019 Signal Improvement - Final Payment**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.6 Annual Renewal of Barracuda Support agreement**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.7 Security System Support Agreement**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.8 Right-Of-Way Vacation Request**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.9 Minot Country Club Manhole Rehab-Final Payment (Project 4571)**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

**5.10 EDA Grant Support Letter- Visit Minot**

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the EDA Grant Support for the Visit Minot proposal. Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Sipma; nays: Ross.

Alderman Ross wanted to Pull consent item number 5.10 EDA Grant Support Letter Visit Minot for further discussion.
Alderman Ross - Yes. Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Just a couple of questions that I have was, this seems to be a pretty significant change in the plan within the last 30 to 50 days. And I'm wondering, with a significant change in the plans. Why was it not put on the action item instead of just kind of pushing it through?

Mayor Sipma - Alderman Ross, that question had actually been proposed, even to me as when the original motion was made, that the original original intent is still the same. The change on here is with the discussion from the EDA experts as far as which grants to go after. That's the short that's the short version. If you're looking for anything deeper on that I'd be willing to provide some more detail.

Alderman Ross - thank you, Mr. Mayor. I mean, you know, we can agree to disagree. I mean, it just it goes from remodeling a building to totally moving and purchasing a new building the intention, but it just there could be an appearance of I mean, it's a significant change, that it was just kind of pushed through and with no vetting. So that was one of my concerns. And I guess if we have a representative from visit mine and I do have a question on, really what changed in the last 30 to 50 days? EDA experts as far as which grants to go after. That's the short that's the short version. If you're looking for anything deeper on that I'd be willing to provide some more detail.

Mayor Sipma - We do the executive director here Miss Stephanie Schoenrock. Good evening. Did you hear the question?

Mrs. Schoenrock - I believe I did. Mayor Sipma, Alderman Ross, if I'm if I heard that right. It was just asking what caused the change? Is that correct? So, I would like to take a minute to review all the things that have stayed the same, essentially, our, the premise of the project, which is to provide when I was here last time, I called it a startup studio, same project, different label. The label we're using now is the tourism industry, marketing incubator. So same project for what we intend to produce. About the same dollar figure truthfully, it's an exact same range, same timeline, and still an EDA grant. What has changed is that Mayor Sipma correct in that one, we've had a few conversations with our regional rep from EDA, they have recommended that So originally, it was the EDA grant. And it was like a tourism and outdoor recreation grant. And when we pitched the project to them, and this was originally they said, because if you remember, we were going to do a two combo deal it was going to be with mine at parks and visit might not together was going to be two projects under one application. That was the original recommendation. Then after they learned a little bit more about it. They said you know what, I think we have a better chance of funding both. If we split this might not parks would go under their original one tourism for outdoor recreation hours would possibly get shifted to the EAA. As of this last week, I think it was last Tuesday I talked with him. She said you know what we're going to, I want to consult with my colleagues before we for sure put a recommendation as to which EDA grants we're going to go after. Apparently, it's very common practice according to her that if you apply for one, if they feel it's a strong enough project that they could actually move it to another grant. And that's where the variation and recommendation has come from. So, I haven't heard back from them that says it's for sure going to be this eager a grant or this EDA grant that we recommend. So, at the end of the day, it's still an EDA grant. And if I remember right on the motion originally was for an EDA grant. So that has not changed. The other component that of course would be changing is our location.

Alderman Ross, Mr. Mayor continue and why the change in location.

Mrs. Schoenrock - Mayor Sipma, Alderman Ross, that is simply because the according to our contract from 1997, it is required for the scandium inherited association to sign off on any revisions that would be done to the building's might not Parks was the owner of the building and supportive one. And so that's just been the reason for the change.

Alderman Ross - So with this new incubator, whatever it's called, what do you see what's the future of Visit Minot. Is it moving away from bringing, you know what everybody likes to say, heads and beds isn't moving away from that. And what's it moving to?

Mrs. Schoenrock - Mayor Sipma, Alderman Ross, I think that's a great question. And thank you for asking it. It, we don't, we won't stop putting heads and beds. I mean, ultimately, that's our goal, what would change is our approach to how it's being done. It's really just a much more modern way of doing it, rather than such a linear, linear way of, you know, a traditional way of CVB it's modernizing our efforts, and, and segmenting what we do so then, rather than all of our efforts going after heads and beds, heads and beds, we open up that vision a little bit, approach it in, I
guess what I would perceive and what a lot of CVB is perceived as a much more strategic manner, and says, you
know, what, if we can, we need to do what we can to strengthen our entire tourism industry, when we strengthen our
entire tourism industry that will in turn, affect positively affect heads and beds. And so, it's just kind of modernizing
that, you know, when we talk about this tourism industry marketing incubator. Are its fancy words I don't know?
But at the end of the day, all it really does it provides the tools designed to accelerate growth and success of the
businesses in the tourism industry. So, are we going to no longer focus on driving people in? No, our focus of
driving people to mine from outside of work County has remained the same. We do it for leisure travel, we do it for
conventions. We do it for sports tourism. And now what we're proposing is that we strengthen the entire tourism
industry. So that you know, as the destination marketing organization, we're a DMO. So, in the industry, we're
known as a destination marketing organization. Well, we have what we have to market is the entire tourism industry,
which is restaurants and retail in advance and attractions. And certainly, hotels are one of them. It's a, it's a more
modern approach.

Alderman Ross- Okay, let's nail it down. I really want I really want to jump on board on this I'm really looking for,
and I want to, you know, use it you talk about strengthening and modernizing. What exactly do you mean by that?
What is, is what is a startup restaurant? Go? How is the startup restaurant going to benefit from this transformation?

Mrs. Schoenrock -Mayor Sipma, Alderman Ross, again, thank you for that question. Because this provides us an
opportunity to kind of explain the why behind this. So, I appreciate that. So, the way it works in a lot of other a lot of
other towns, because this isn't our idea. I mean, honestly, it's not it's, it works in other communities, and we're
looking to, to do it here as well. So, the idea behind it is that if you're a startup business, whether it's a retail or
restaurant or whatever event attraction, whatever it is, if you're a startup that would focus on bringing more people
in that would bring more people from outside of work county into my not they incubators aren't our marketing
incubator, I say I should say is it helps provide them tools. So again, we're the destination marketing organization.
So, it's really all centered around marketing, the staff visit minor on marketing. And so, these businesses can come
to us and help. They need guidance with branding and website and social media and all those sorts of things that
make a difference between a business being successful and not successful in the first few years or first year of trying
to get off their your get on their feet. So, it's using that model. And bringing it here.

Alderman Ross- I just have concerns about it, an organization getting public funds in directly competing against
smaller private, with I mean, I can see us I can see being in direct competition with, you know, a web firm, with a
printing company with, you know, a could be a TV station or an advertising agency. That's where I'm having
problems in in a keep going back to where your funding comes from, other than, you know, the funding that the city
has given you, where does your funding come from. And it comes from bringing the pudding filling hotels, and
that's the feedback that I'm getting. Since our last discussion. That's the feedback that I've been getting from
members of members or businesses in general managers that directly, you know, pass through the money that funds
your organization is that they're not focusing on that. That's not my words, those are words that I'm hearing. And it's
not from one it's not from two, it's from at least four or more that I'm hearing that from, and that's what concerns me
is it that concerns me that, you know, you talk to a farmer, and you tell a farmer, you know, where do you where do
you get your primary income from, and raising crops. But then the farmer wants to be a surgeon, you know, stay
with raising your crops. That's, you know, that's, that's the that's the maybe the naive side of me saying that. But it's
also, you know, I just have I just have some concerns, and I really want to jump on board. And I just, I not sure I like
the direction personally. And that's, you know, I guess I was put up here to have my own judgment might have my
own voice. And that's where I'm at. I just have some current concerns about the future. And in particular, I mean,
you talk about location, location, location. I think the last time you were here, you talked about how the
Scandinavian Heritage Park is one of the top tourist attractions in the state of North Dakota. And now we're moving
away from that just doesn't make sense to me. And I'm done.

Mayor Sipma- Mrs. Schoenrock to respond. Before we take any further questions.

Mrs. Schoenrock- I would appreciate that, opportunity. Thank you, Mayor Sipma, Alderman Ross, you bring up
some really great points. And the part that I want to mention, you know, off the bat is the example of the farmer
because you bring up a good point, I would argue that it's not a farmer trying to be a surgeon. It's a farmer making
his land more fertile. And that's, I guess how I see it. I don't see it as a complete departure from what we're doing.
We're trying to make our, our land be better, so we can grow better crops to appeal to more people? I? I'm glad to hear I don't know. Yeah, I'm going to say I'm glad to hear that, that people have mentioned to you their concerns I have not heard any. So, I am sincere when I say I would hope people reach out to me when they've got concerns and questions, because I haven't heard one, I've heard some great support. So far. We are funded by hotel tax, and that is the people that's not by the hotels, it's the people who come in. So, when they come in to stay in a hotel, and they pay the hotel tax, it gets passed through the city, the only funding that we've received from the city. And it's a significant amount, the tourism recovering resiliency project, which was a year ago, almost to the day, I was here to pitch that. That was the from what I know the first time that the cities ever actually provided funding out of their coffers for a project. And I do look forward by the end of the year to come back with my reports of the what we've learned the successes, we've had the things that didn't go quite as planned. And as with all projects that we do, visit mind maps. We learned from what is good, and we also learn from what isn't so good and make those adjustments to make sure those things don't happen twice. The thing that I would say about location is there's no doubt that that the scanning and Heritage Park is a terrific asset for our community, I will never I will never argue that point. And one thing that we would have learned since that I think is really important to point out is that because we are able to track the GPS data, so the number of people that come to our community, right from outside 50 miles. So, we know that the people who are staying in hotels, so without getting into too much detail here to bore you all, we have drawn geo fences around all of the hotels. So one point of interest is hotels, we know the people that go to hotels, we correlate by where else they go in town. So we happen to know that for from people coming outside of 50 miles that stay in hotels in our community. Roughly less than 5% of them actually come to Scandinavian Heritage Park as well. So what I observe this year as an last summer, is that we get a tremendous amount of people into our into the park from all over the country, which is honestly something that we have to be incredibly proud of. Oftentimes, they are on a mission because they're in North Dakota for two days. So they're going from Peace gardens to here on to Medora. We have tried to capture them. And honestly, this has been the focus of visit mine for years and years and years and years and years. And while we certainly will not abandon that effort, we have learned that the people who come to our hotels, they spend more time in downtown and some of our other points of interest. So yes, the scanning of his Heritage Park is forever going to be a tremendous asset to our community and one of my favorite places in town truthfully. But at the end of the day, I still believe you know, I've been put in this role to do what's in the best interest of the organization. And I do believe that that is best suited for the entire industry to be able to build this feature.

Mayor Sipma- Any other questions for Mrs. Schoenrock at this time? Alderwoman Olson,

Alderwomen Olson -thank you, Mr. Mayor, just one quick question. I know when you were here last there was kind of a time constraint and in just listening to you say that you've been working in original EDA, it has there been an extension of time so that or Okay, so you're still kind of a time crunch

Mr. Schoenrock - Alderwomen Olson, Mayor Sipma, there is still a time constraint. And honestly, in an ideal world of which we do not live. The intent was to have this submitted over a month ago. That aren't the circumstances in which we work. And so, it is our job to do everything we can to go after that. And so we're doing a pivot, and we will intend to submit that the grant in the next few weeks.

Mrs. Schoenrock- Thank You

5.11 Approval of FI-1 Application from Aulander, LLC

Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

5.12 Approval of FI-2 Application from S-J One Eleven LLC

Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.
5.13 City Hall Retaining Wall 2021 Award of Bid (4398)
Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

5.14 Encroachment Permit- 200 South Broadway
Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

5.15 Encroachment Permit- 3015 16th Street SW & 1018 24th Ave SW
Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

5.16 Settlement Agreement with AAction Movers
Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

5.17 Lead Head Dam Remediation- Acceptance of State Water Commission Grant (Project #4618)
Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

5.18 New Landfill Entrance- Award Bid (Project # 4580)
Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

5.19 P3529.1A Phase 5A-Realignment for Flood Protection Plans andSpecs Approval
Alderwoman Olson moved the City Council approve the consent items 5.1 thru 5.9 and 5.11 thru 5.19
Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

ACTION ITEMS

6.1 Services Agreement with Minot Veterinary Clinic for Animal Impoundment
With No Discussion Alderman Jantzer moved the City Council approve the approve the agreement with the city of Minot Veterinary Clinic Motion seconded by Alderwomen Olson and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: Evans
6.2 Approve Sub-Recipient Agreement with Dakota College of Bottineau for Center of Technical Education

Alderman Olson moved the City Council approve the Sub-Recipient Agreement with Dakota College of Bottineau for Center of Technical Education. Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

Olson wanted to Thank Everyone who has helped along the way to make this possible. This is Important for our Community and we have been working diligently to see this through. The City Manager also wanted to acknowledge his gratitude to HUD for the funding from the 2011 Flood and Trinity as well as our Federal Departments for making this possible.

6.3 Acceptance of Bids for New Liquor Licenses

Alderman Ross Moved the City Council Accept all of the Bids for the New Liquor Licenses. Motion seconded by Alderwomen Olson and Carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Ross, Sipma, Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula; nays: None

6.4 Recycling Transfer Station- Award Bid of (Project #4580)

Alderman Jantzer moved the City Council approve the bid award for the Recycling Transfer Station. Motion seconded by Alderman Pitner and carried by the following roll call vote: ayes: Evans, Jantzer, Olson, Pitner, Podrygula, Ross, Sipma; nays: none.

PERSONAL APPEARENCES

None

MISCELLANEOUS AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

Alderwoman Evans wanted to remind everyone that if you are looking to give this year that Twice Blessed beginning, Nov. 15th until the end of the year, with the Community Foundation. All donations are doubled with a match to help 81 non-profits in our area. The money stays local. If you are interested go to there website and find out more.

LIASON REPORTS

Alderman Pittner- Visit Minot working on the EDA Grant
Jantzer- Liaison Meeting this week
Ross- Zoning Meeting- Presentation was Provided by John Van Dyke
Sipma- Task Force, Team Minot, and Personal Review
Evans- Library Recognized as Sustainable Organization, the set goals and are working on the Future Focus of the Library-Innovation of Staff & library.
Olson- Attended the Funeral of Lynne Aas, City Hall Meeting- Bid Results, Lead City Council Meeting, Was a part of the Roll Out and Mission- Vision for City Staff. Independent Inc with Josh Wolsky. Will be at the Liaison Committee meeting this week.
Podrygula- The emergency resource Council continues its behind the scenes but effective work Minot Commission on aging is meeting on Friday. And the ward County Planning Commission is slogging through the development of the county zoning planning Documents.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Alderman Pittner moved the City Council meeting to be adjourned. Motion seconded by Alderwomen Evans and carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 6:30pm.